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## Summary

The purpose of this policy brief is to analyze the cost to the state of Georgia of a tradable tax credit for qualified production activities including only the production of new film or video projects. The brief provides an analysis of the costs of such a credit (the foregone revenue) and the benefits of a credit (due to the economic impact of expansion in the number of films produced in Georgia). The analytical tool that is used in this analysis is the IMPLAN model. The analysis has been done using data on a representative budget for a low budget motion picture, a commercial, and a music video as provided by the Georgia Department of Economic Development. ${ }^{1}$

The economic impact component of the analysis for the motion picture was conducted under two basic scenarios: the baseline (using data from the budgets provided and assuming no change in the mix of expenditures by type or by location) and alternative scenarios (a set of sensitivity scenarios assuming some changes in the mix of expenditures by location in the motion picture budget). For the commercial and music video budget, only the baseline scenario is presented. The credit cost component of the analysis was also made using a number of different credit rules. In all cases, it is assumed that the credit would be tradable without restriction as to the trade price of the credit. Modifying this assumption would change the analysis somewhat. Table 1 presents the results of this analysis; scenario 1 is the baseline case for each project type under alternative credit scenarios and scenario 2 is the low budget film under alternative assumptions regarding the budget as explained in the table notes.

Table 1 provides a summary of the type and cost of alternative types of credits and the net impact of the various alternatives. For example, in the first scenario, the budget information pertains to a low-budget production of $\$ 11.8$ million, of which $\$ 4.0$ million is non-labor Georgia expenditures and $\$ 2.1$ is GA labor expenditures. The economic impact (total direct and indirect economic activity) associated with such a production is $\$ 12.6$ million. In turn, the direct and indirect expenditures yield a revenue increase of $\$ 746,531$ state revenue and $\$ 1.04$ million of state and local revenue. If a credit of 10 percent of non-labor Georgia expenditures (on all Georgia nonlabor) and 15 percent of Georgia labor expenditures were allowed (on all Georgia labor), the cost of the credit would be $\$ 714,848$. The net impact on the state and local budgets would be an increase in revenue of $\$ 320,620$ and on the state budget only would be a revenue gain of $\$ 198,712$. In the case of a $20 \%$ credit on Georgia labor and a $15 \%$ credit on non-labor Georgia spending, the net impact on state revenue would be negative $(-90,214)$ and the net impact on state and local revenue would be a positive $\$ 198,712$. ${ }^{2}$

TAbLE 1: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS

| Scenario | -------------------------Credit--------------------------- |  |  | Net State <br> Revenue <br> Impact (\$) | Net StateLocal Revenue Impact (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent Credit All Georgia Labor | Percent Credit All Georgia Non-Labor | Value of Credit |  |  |
| 1 Low budget | 15 | 10 | \$714,838 | 31,694 | 320,620 |
| 2 Low budget | 17 | 12 | \$836,745 | -90,214 | 198,712 |
| 3 Commercial | 15 | 10 | \$11,499 | -3,391 | 695 |
| 4 Music Video | 15 | 10 | \$13,950 | -2,252 | 3,151 |
| 5 Low budget; increased postproduction | 15 | 10 | \$804,838 | -14,563 | 306,908 |

Notes: Scenarios 1-2 are the baseline scenarios and utilize budget data as reported; scenarios 3 is for a hypothetical budget where post-production in Georgia was increased to 50 percent of total reported postproduction.

Details on the classification of expenditures and use of the IMPLAN model are presented in the following sections.

## Analytical Approach

The expenditures of any business add money to the economy and potentially increase tax revenues if the expenditures are new to the economy. The direct expenditures are those that are made by the firm in producing a good or service. In the case of the motion picture industry, the direct expenditures (direct economic effects) are those items presented in the example budgets provided by the Georgia Department of Economic Development. In addition to these direct economic effects (i.e., expenditures and employment compensation), the economic activities of a firm generate additional economic impacts. These secondary effects, which are known as multiplier or ripple effects, occur because the expenditures made by the production company, by their employees, and by their suppliers, result in additional economic activity in other parts of the economy.

To illustrate how the multiplier works in the case of a film production, consider the following example. Suppose that Firm A purchased $\$ 5,000$ in security services from a local firm. That security firm will use the $\$ 5,000$ to hire workers, to purchase materials, etc. The workers, in turn, will purchase goods and services with their income. The firm that supplied the materials to the security firm will, in turn, hire workers, purchase materials, etc. Thus, the initial expenditure results in an increase in sales from several firms as successive rounds of spending occur.

The size of the additional economic effect gets smaller in each additional round of spending since some of the additional income goes to pay taxes, some of it is not spent, and some of it is spent outside the area. To illustrate, suppose that each additional $\$ 1.00$ of expenditure results in additional spending within Georgia of $\$ .50$. The additional $\$ .50$ then results in an increase in spending of $\$ .25$, and so on. In total, the effect of the initial $\$ 1.00$ expenditure may be an additional $\$ 1.00$ in the local economy or an additional $\$ 1.25$, etc.

The multipliers used for this briefing are based on a sophisticated input-output model called IMPLAN. There are many multipliers available from the IMPLAN software model depending on the type of expenditure that is analyzed. In total, the version of IMPLAN that is run at Georgia State University, Fiscal Research Center contains 509 multipliers representing 20 twodigit NAICS industries. ${ }^{3}$ Due to the specificity of the multipliers in the IMPLAN model, in the case of the motion picture industry, given that detailed budgetary data are available, multipliers from a variety of sectors may be applied to specific types of expenditures made in the production process. Therefore the analysis is not based on any one multiplier, but rather on a number of multipliers each of which is quite specific to a particular budgeted category. ${ }^{4}$ The detailed breakdown of the budget by category is shown in Table 4 as the "direct" expenditures. The table also contains the economic impacts of the various expenditures.

In general, multiplier effects apply only to expenditures generated by demand from outside the local economy. In this analysis, expenditures of the motion picture industry are assumed to be "new" and not crowding out expenditures that otherwise would have been made in the absence of the production as a result of a tax credit. Budgeted expenditures that are spent outside Georgia will have no multiplier effects on the Georgia economy. Thus, to calculate the total economic effect it is first necessary to determine total Georgia based expenditures, and then to categorize those expenditures by industry type. The Georgia/non-Georgia classification was made available in the representative low-budget film that we received. To further analyze the effect of the motion picture industry, we classified each expenditure in the representative budget by industry. We used 2-digit NAICS industrial classifications as the starting point for aggregation, but broke out industrial categories specifically mentioned in the representative budget. Expenditures from the representative budget are then re-grouped into new budget-specific industrial sectors. These new sectors are denoted by an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ in Table 2, along with a descriptive name and list of the IMPLAN sectors included in that sector. Table 3 provides the actual multipliers for these sectors and they range from a high of 2.19 in the construction industry to a low of 1.14 in the real estate and rental sector.

The IMPLAN model produces indirect and induced economic effects by sector. These results are reported in Table 4. As seen in that table, the direct Georgia based expenditures of $\$ 6.1$ million generate an additional $\$ 6.3$ million in economic activity. Table 5 shows that these direct and indirect and induced expenditures generate $\$ 746,531$ in state tax revenue and slightly over one million dollars in state and local tax revenue.

## Sensitivity Analysis (Low-Budget Motion Picture)

The economic analysis presented in this report is based on information developed from a variety of industry sources, available data, and published research. However, there are a number of pieces to the analysis, and the results are somewhat sensitive to the inputs. For example, the representative budget itself may not be a good reflection of the business in the industry overall. Small changes in the Georgia/non-Georgia spend categories have a substantial impact on the analysis. Also, the classification of expenditures (Table 4) has an impact on the overall impact; the level of Georgia/non-Georgia spending has an impact, etc. We believe that the classification of Table 4 is accurate and unless the original budget items were misclassified, Table 4 is a very good cross-walk between the budget and the industry classifications available in IMPLAN. A finer budget classification would result in finer categorization of the multipliers. It should be noted, however, that for very similar expenditures, the multipliers are quite similar.

Table 2: SECTOR Classification

| NAICS <br> (2-digit) | Sector Name | Included Sectors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Ag., Forestry, Fishing \& Hunting | All NAICS 11 |
| 21 | Mining | All NAICS 21 |
| 22 | Utilities | All NAICS 22 |
| 23 | Construction | All NAICS 23 (less 230220) |
| 31-33 | Manufacturing | All NAICS 31-33 |
| 42 | Wholesale Trade | All NAICS 42 |
| 44-45 | Retail Trade | All NAICS 44-45 (less 447, 441) |
| 48-49 | Transportation | All NAICS 48-49 (less 491110, 492) |
| 51 | Information | All NAICS 51 (less 5121) |
| 52 | Finance \& Insurance | All NAICS 52 |
| 53 | Real Estate \& Rental | All NAICS 53 (less 531, 5321, 5324, 53221) |
| 54 | Professional \& Scientific Serv. | All NAICS 54 (less 54192, 5418) |
| 55 | Management | All NAICS 55 |
| 56 | Administrative and Waste Serv. | All NAICS 56 (less 5611, 5613, 5614, 5615) |
| 61 | Educational Services | All NAICS 61 |
| 62 | Health \& Social Services | All NAICS 62 |
| 71 | Arts \& Entertainment | All NAICS 71 (less 71394, 7131, 72111, 72119, 722) |
| 81 | Other Services | All NAICS 81 (less 81111, 8121, 8123, 8129) |
| 92 | Government | All NAICS 92 |
| * | Film and Stock Processing | Photographic Serv (54192) |
| * | Gas \& Repairs | Gasoline Stations (447), Auto Rep. \& Maint.(81111) |
| * | Living Expenses | Fitness \& Recreation (71394), Other Amuse. (7131), Hotel \& Motel (72111), Other Accommodations (72119), Food Serv. \& Drinking Places (722) |
| * | Location Rental | Real Estate (531) |
| * | Movie Production | Commercial Building (230220), Motion Pictures (5121), Machinery \& Equip. Rental (5324), General Consumer Goods Rental (53221), Empl. Serv. (5613), Travel Arrangements (5615) |
| * | Office Equipment, Supplies and Shipping | Postal Service (491110), Courier \& Messenger (492), Office Admin. Serv. (5611), Business Support Serv. (5614) |
| * | Publicity | Advertising and Related Services (5418) |
| * | Transportation Rental and Purchase | Motor vehicle and Parts Dealers (441), Auto. Equipment Rental (5321) |
| * | Wardrobe \& Makeup | Personal Care Serve. (8121), Drycleaning \& Laundry Serv. (8123), Other Personal Serv. (8129), |

TABLE 3: OUTPUT MULTIPLIERS BY INDUSTRY (LOW-BUDGET MOVIE)

|  | Direct <br> Effects | Indirect <br> Effects | Induced <br> Effects | SAM <br> Multiplier |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 Ag, Forestry, Fish \& Hunting | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 1.67 |
| 21 Mining | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 1.68 |
| 22 Utilities | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 1.49 |
| 23 Construction | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 2.19 |
| 31-33 Manufacturing | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.36 | 1.94 |
| 42 Wholesale Trade | 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 1.80 |
| 48-49 Transportation \& Warehousing | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 2.06 |
| 44-45 Retail trade | 1.00 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 1.93 |
| 51 Information | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 1.77 |
| 52 Finance \& insurance | 1.00 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 1.82 |
| 53 Real estate \& rental | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 1.14 |
| 54 Professional- scientific \& tech serv. | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.71 | 1.91 |
| 55 Management of companies | 1.00 | 0.28 | 0.71 | 1.99 |
| 56 Administrative \& waste services | 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 1.90 |
| 61 Educational serv. | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 1.96 |
| 62 Health \& social services | 1.00 | 0.44 | 0.61 | 2.04 |
| 71 Arts-entertainment \& recreation | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.69 | 2.03 |
| 81 Other services | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.54 | 1.94 |
| 92 Government \& non NAICs | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 1.65 |
| *Film and Stock Processing | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 1.74 |
| *Gas and Repairs | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 1.89 |
| *Living Expenses | 1.00 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 2.00 |
| *Location Rental | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 1.52 |
| *Movie Production | 1.00 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 2.09 |
| *Office Equipment, Supplies, and Shipping | 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 1.92 |
| *Publicity | 1.00 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 1.90 |
| *Transportation Rental and Purchase | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 1.88 |
| *Wardrobe and Makeup | 1.00 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4A: Economic Impact of a Small-Budget Film (\$11.8 Million Budget, \$6.1 Million Spent in Georgia)

| Output Impact <br> Sector | Direct |  | Indirect |  | Induced |  | Total |  | Actual Expenditures + 900k in New Post Production$\qquad$ Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Direct |  |  |  | Indirect |  | Induced |  | Total |
| 11 Ag, Forestry, Fish \& Hunting | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | 32,066 |  |  | \$ | 27,695 | \$ | 59,762 | \$ | - | \$ | 34,271 | \$ | 32,315 | \$ | 66,586 |
| 21 Mining | \$ | - | \$ | 1,853 | \$ | 1,777 | \$ | 3,629 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,080 | \$ | 2,073 | \$ | 4,153 |
| 22 Utilities | \$ | - | \$ | 69,989 | \$ | 89,432 | \$ | 159,421 | \$ | - | \$ | 75,911 | \$ | 104,350 | \$ | 180,261 |
| 23 Construction | \$ | 182,318 | \$ | 32,110 | \$ | 16,458 | \$ | 230,886 | \$ | 182,318 | \$ | 34,532 | \$ | 19,204 | \$ | 236,054 |
| 31-33 Manufacturing | \$ | - | \$ | 626,949 | \$ | 535,868 | \$ | 1,162,817 | \$ | - | \$ | 714,196 | \$ | 625,257 | \$ | 1,339,453 |
| 42 Wholesale Trade | \$ | - | \$ | 211,445 | \$ | 221,375 | \$ | 432,821 | \$ | - | \$ | 235,537 | \$ | 258,303 | \$ | 493,841 |
| 44-45 Retail trade | \$ | - | \$ | 133,344 | \$ | 283,915 | \$ | 417,259 | \$ | - | \$ | 161,606 | \$ | 331,275 | \$ | 492,881 |
| 48-49 Transportation \& Warehousing | \$ | - | \$ | 84,020 | \$ | 108,373 | \$ | 192,393 | \$ | - | \$ | 94,920 | \$ | 126,451 | \$ | 221,371 |
| 51 Information | \$ | - | \$ | 132,779 | \$ | 106,017 | \$ | 238,796 | \$ | - | \$ | 151,145 | \$ | 123,702 | \$ | 274,846 |
| 52 Finance \& insurance | \$ | - | \$ | 131,169 | \$ | 310,079 | \$ | 441,248 | \$ | - | \$ | 151,338 | \$ | 361,804 | \$ | 513,141 |
| 53 Real estate \& rental | \$ | - | \$ | 71,426 | \$ | 23,564 | \$ | 94,990 | \$ | - | \$ | 77,747 | \$ | 27,495 | \$ | 105,241 |
| 54 Professional-scientific \& tech serv. | \$ | - | \$ | 261,926 | \$ | 116,785 | \$ | 378,711 | \$ | - | \$ | 315,559 | \$ | 136,266 | \$ | 451,825 |
| 55 Management of companies | \$ | - | \$ | 56,046 | \$ | 30,407 | \$ | 86,453 | \$ | - | \$ | 65,471 | \$ | 35,479 | \$ | 100,949 |
| 56 Administrative \& waste services | \$ | - | \$ | 43,825 | \$ | 30,934 | \$ | 74,759 | \$ | - | \$ | 48,836 | \$ | 36,094 | \$ | 84,930 |
| 61 Educational serv. | \$ | - | \$ | 6,474 | \$ | 38,714 | \$ | 45,188 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,364 | \$ | 45,172 | \$ | 52,536 |
| 62 Health \& social services | \$ | - | \$ | 58 | \$ | 441,540 | \$ | 441,598 | \$ | - | \$ | 63 | \$ | 515,195 | \$ | 515,258 |
| 71 Arts-entertainment \& recreation | \$ | - | \$ | 23,333 | \$ | 17,790 | \$ | 41,124 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,949 | \$ | 20,758 | \$ | 46,707 |
| 81 Other services | \$ | - | \$ | 38,585 | \$ | 73,820 | \$ | 112,405 | \$ | - | \$ | 44,732 | \$ | 86,134 | \$ | 130,865 |
| 92 Government \& non NAICs | \$ | - | \$ | 47,571 | \$ | 395,144 | \$ | 442,715 | \$ | - | \$ | 53,313 | \$ | 461,059 | \$ | 514,372 |
| Film and Stock Processing | \$ | 217,060 | \$ | 1,612 | \$ | 3,022 | \$ | 221,694 | \$ | 217,060 | \$ | 1,780 | \$ | 3,526 | \$ | 222,366 |
| Gas and Repairs | \$ | 69,499 | \$ | 61,940 | \$ | 120,425 | \$ | 251,864 | \$ | 69,499 | \$ | 71,766 | \$ | 140,513 | \$ | 281,778 |
| Living Expenses |  | 1,961,618 | \$ | 49,378 | \$ | 232,521 | \$ | 2,243,517 |  | 1,961,618 | \$ | 56,614 | \$ | 271,309 | \$ | 2,289,541 |
| Location Rental | \$ | 144,743 | \$ | 143,638 | \$ | 139,714 | \$ | 428,095 | \$ | 144,743 | \$ | 156,311 | \$ | 163,020 | \$ | 464,074 |
| Movie Production |  | ,922,172 | \$ | 194,325 | \$ | 52,712 | \$ | 3,169,209 |  | 3,822,172 | \$ | 237,451 | \$ | 61,505 | \$ | 4,121,128 |
| Office Equipment, Supplies, and Shipping | \$ | 207,038 | \$ | 68,635 | \$ | 41,506 | \$ | 317,179 | \$ | 207,038 | \$ | 78,366 | \$ | 48,430 | \$ | 333,833 |
| Publicity | \$ | 21,608 | \$ | 24,961 | \$ | 8,718 | \$ | 55,287 | \$ | 21,608 | \$ | 28,188 | \$ | 10,172 | \$ | 59,968 |
| Transportation Rental and Purchase | \$ | 289,973 | \$ | 32,042 | \$ | 88,651 | \$ | 410,667 | \$ | 289,973 | \$ | 37,818 | \$ | 103,439 | \$ | 431,230 |
| Wardrobe and Makeup | \$ | 79,340 | \$ | 13,103 | \$ | 38,349 | \$ | 130,792 | \$ | 79,340 | \$ | 13,345 | \$ | 44,747 | \$ | 137,432 |
| Total |  | ,095,369 |  | 2,594,603 |  | 3,595,305 |  | 12,285,277 |  | 6,995,369 |  | ,976,206 |  | ,195,046 |  | 4,166,621 |

Table 4B: Economic Impact of a Commercial

| Output Impact <br> Sector | -----------------Actual Expenditures---------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct | Indirect | Induced | Total |
| 11 Ag, Forestry, Fish \& Hunting | 0 | 331 | 487 | 818 |
| 21 Mining | 0 | 30 | 31 | 61 |
| 22 Utilities | 0 | 885 | 1,571 | 2,456 |
| 23 Construction | 8,500 | 373 | 289 | 9,163 |
| 31-33 Manufacturing | 0 | 10,272 | 9,414 | 19,685 |
| 42 Wholesale Trade | 0 | 3,126 | 3,889 | 7,015 |
| 44-45 Retail trade | 0 | 2,877 | 4,988 | 7,865 |
| 48-49 Transportation \& Warehousing | 0 | 1,380 | 1,904 | 3,284 |
| 51 Information | 0 | 2,286 | 1,862 | 4,148 |
| 52 Finance \& insurance | 2,227 | 2,625 | 5,447 | 10,299 |
| 53 Real estate \& rental | 0 | 1,039 | 414 | 1,453 |
| 54 Professional-scientific \& tech serv. | 0 | 5,299 | 2,052 | 7,351 |
| 55 Management of companies | 0 | 953 | 534 | 1,487 |
| 56 Administrative \& waste services | 0 | 711 | 543 | 1,255 |
| 61 Educational serv. | 0 | 125 | 680 | 805 |
| 62 Health \& social services | 0 | 1 | 7,756 | 7,757 |
| 71 Arts- entertainment \& recreation | 0 | 346 | 313 | 659 |
| 81 Other services | 0 | 698 | 1,297 | 1,995 |
| 92 Government \& non NAICs | 0 | 754 | 6,941 | 7,696 |
| Film and Stock Processing | 10,200 | 70 | 53 | 10,323 |
| Gas and Repairs | 0 | 1,104 | 2,115 | 3,219 |
| Living Expenses | 7,245 | 865 | 4,085 | 12,195 |
| Location Rental | 0 | 2,109 | 2,454 | 4,564 |
| Movie Production | 65,992 | 3,833 | 926 | 70,751 |
| Office Equipment, Supplies, and Shipping | 1,250 | 1,208 | 729 | 3,187 |
| Publicity | 0 | 383 | 153 | 537 |
| Transportation Rental and Purchase | 0 | 629 | 1,557 | 2,186 |
| Wardrobe and Makeup | 10,000 | 362 | 674 | 11,036 |
| Total | 105,414 | 44,676 | 63,158 | 213,248 |

Table 4C: Economic Impact of a Music Video

| Output Impact <br> Sector | ------------------Actual Expenditures----------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct | Indirect | Induced | Total |
| 11 Ag, Forestry, Fish \& Hunting | 0 | 486 | 640 | 1,126 |
| 21 Mining | 0 | 37 | 41 | 78 |
| 22 Utilities | 0 | 1,113 | 2,067 | 3,180 |
| 23 Construction | 5,013 | 493 | 380 | 5,886 |
| 31-33 Manufacturing | 0 | 13,100 | 12,384 | 25,484 |
| 42 Wholesale Trade | 0 | 4,023 | 5,116 | 9,139 |
| 44-45 Retail trade | 0 | 3,525 | 6,561 | 10,086 |
| 48-49 Transportation \& Warehousing | 0 | 1,689 | 2,505 | 4,194 |
| 51 Information | 300 | 2,808 | 2,450 | 5,558 |
| 52 Finance \& insurance | 5,600 | 3,885 | 7,166 | 16,651 |
| 53 Real estate \& rental | 0 | 1,329 | 545 | 1,874 |
| 54 Professional-scientific \& tech svcs | 1,600 | 6,886 | 2,699 | 11,185 |
| 55 Management of companies | 0 | 1,228 | 703 | 1,931 |
| 56 Administrative \& waste services | 0 | 800 | 715 | 1,515 |
| 61 Educational svcs | 0 | 140 | 895 | 1,034 |
| 62 Health \& social services | 0 | 1 | 10,204 | 10,205 |
| 71 Arts-entertainment \& recreation | 0 | 435 | 411 | 847 |
| 81 Other services | 0 | 866 | 1,706 | 2,572 |
| 92 Government \& non NAICs | 0 | 976 | 9,132 | 10,108 |
| Film and Stock Processing | 8,660 | 37 | 70 | 8,767 |
| Gas and Repairs | 0 | 1,361 | 2,783 | 4,144 |
| Living Expenses | 18,520 | 1,115 | 5,374 | 25,009 |
| Location Rental | 0 | 2,453 | 3,229 | 5,682 |
| Movie Production | 93,215 | 5,095 | 1,218 | 99,528 |
| Office Equipment, Supplies, and Shipping | 0 | 1,504 | 959 | 2,464 |
| Publicity | 0 | 497 | 201 | 698 |
| Transportation Rental and Purchase | 0 | 781 | 2,049 | 2,830 |
| Wardrobe and Makeup | 1,510 | 269 | 886 | 2,665 |
| Total | 134,418 | 56,932 | 83,088 | 274,438 |

TABLE 5: TAX IMPACTS OF FILM PRODUCTION EXPENDITURE (LOW-BUDGET FILM)

| Tax Impact Low-Budget |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue Item | State | Local | Total |
| Corporate Income Tax | 8,445 | 0 | 8,445 |
| Personal Income Tax | 556,576 | 0 | 556,576 |
| Sales Tax | 158,527 | 105,929 | 264,456 |
| Estate \& Gift Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Property Tax | 1,543 | 155,425 | 156,968 |
| Other Taxes, Fees, and Fines | 21,439 | 27,573 | 49,012 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 6 , 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 8 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 5 , 4 5 7}$ |


| Tax Impact Low-Budget + 900K in New Post Production in GA |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue Item | State | Local | Total |
| Corporate Income Tax | 9,510 | 0 | 9,510 |
| Personal Income Tax | 578,819 | 0 | 578,819 |
| Sales Tax | 176,044 | 117,633 | 293,677 |
| Estate \& Gift Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Property Tax | 1,715 | 172,730 | 174,445 |
| Other Taxes, Fees, and Fines | 24,188 | 31,107 | 55,295 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 0}, 275$ | $\mathbf{3 2 1 , 4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 1 , 7 4 6}$ |


| Tax Impact Commercial |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue Item | State | Local | Total |
| Corporate Income Tax | 154 | 0 | 154 |
| Personal Income Tax | 5,386 | 0 | 5,386 |
| Sales Tax | 2,220 | 1,484 | 3,704 |
| Estate \& Gift Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Property Tax | 22 | 2,185 | 2,207 |
| Other Taxes, Fees, and Fines | 325 | 418 | 743 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 9 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tax Impact Music Video |  |  |  |
| Revenue Item | State | Local | Total |
| Corporate Income Tax | 182 | 0 | 182 |
| Personal Income Tax | 8,123 | 0 | 8,123 |
| Sales Tax | 2,937 | 1,962 | 4,899 |
| Estate \& Gift Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Property Tax | 29 | 2,890 | 2,919 |
| Other Taxes, Fees, and Fines | 428 | 550 | 978 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 1 0 1}$ |

Another limitation of this type of analysis is that the mix of the budgeted expenditures could be influenced by the fiscal policy of a state. In two interviews undertaken to develop this analysis, it was suggested that the ratio of Georgia-non-Georgia based expenditures could change as a result of a tax credit (due in particular to the increased likelihood of doing Georgia-based postproduction work), depending upon the size and construction of the credit. We have not been able to obtain a time-series of budget data from firms producing in states with credits to critically analyze such a supposition. And to the contrary, in one interviewee suggested that in the case of post-production, such a switch would not likely take place due to the time-intensity of postproduction (those involved "wanting to get home after filming."). However, to demonstrate the sensitivity of the analysis to this type of response, scenario 3 provides an alternative assumptions regarding "Georgia share" in the budget by increasing post-production to 50 percent of reported post production. If this were the case, the revenue cost of the credit would actually increase (scenario 3 in Table 1) because of the relatively large value of credit relative to increase in revenue associated with the post-production industry in Georgia.

## Notes

1. We received a budget for a medium budget picture ( $\$ 35$ million) but do not have sufficient detail in that budget to distribute between Georgia and non-Georgia labor and expenditures. We did not have specific Georgia spend breakdowns for the representative commercial nor video production budgets. For the commercial and video production, we allocated expenses as follows. Non-Georgia labor includes: Pre-and post-wrap salary expenses, and directors fees, Non-Georgia non-labor includes: pre-and post wrap expenses, post-production expenses, and telecine expenses. For all labor expenditures, we assume that the total amount is taxable as income generated from activities in Georgia.
2. In all cases, we have assumed that when a tax liability arises from the production, it is paid. This includes the tax liability for non-residents.
3. The multipliers range in size from a low of 0 to a high of 2.72 . The average multiplier in the file is 1.63 .
4. This is also true of the earlier study by Edmiston (2003).
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